



03-15-3 3

AF

~~Practitioner's Docket No. 56,677 (70551)~~
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: M. Yasuda, et al. Conf. No.: 2892
Application No.: 10/040,804 Group No.:2827
Filed: November 8, 2001 Examiner: Norris, Jeremy C..
For: ELECTRONIC COMPONENT AND METHOD AND STRUCTURE FOR
MOUNTING SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

RECEIVED

AUG 21 2003

**NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH CENTER 1600/2900
TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
(37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.191)**

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 93 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 94 (Oct. 10, 1997).

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed July 1, 2003, finally rejecting claims 1-5.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

08/18/2003 8:41:11 PM 1 00000131 041105 10040804

01 FC:1401 320.00 DA
02 FC:1253 820.00 DA

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

[X] deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as **Express Mail (Label No. EV342588118US)** in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[] transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office (703) -


Date: August 13, 2003

Signature Elmer M. Wadding

Eileen M. Woodbury

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board--page 1 of 4)



1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

other than a small entity.
 a small entity.

RECEIVED

AUG 21 2003

TECH CEN

A statement:

is attached.
 was already filed on _____.

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

small entity \$160.00
 other than a small entity \$320.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$320.00

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of Section 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 apply.

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than <u>small entity</u>	Fee for <u>small entity</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$ 410.00	\$205.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> three months	\$ 930.00	\$465.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1,450.00	\$725.00

Fee \$ 930.00

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board--page 2 of 4)

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

(a) An extension for one months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$110 is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$820.00

or

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

4. TOTAL FEE DUE

The total fee due is:

Notice of Appeal fee \$ 320.00
Extension fee (if any) \$ 820.00

TOTAL FEE DUE \$ 1,140.00

5. FEE PAYMENT

Attached are check in the amount of \$.
 Charge Account No. 04-1105 the sum of \$1140.00.
A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

6. FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.

If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. 04-1105.

AND/OR

[X]If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.


Date: August 13, 2003

Reg. No. 42,639

Tel. No.: (617) 517-5523

Customer No.: 21874

344826


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

George W. Hartnell, III, Esq.

(type or print name of practitioner)

Edwards & Angell, LLP

P.O. Box 9169

Boston, MA 02209